

*Northwest Science* strives to follow publishing best practices based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) [guidelines](#) as outlined below (version 1.0 adopted 01 October 2023).

## Authorship

The minimum requirements for authorship are 1) substantial contribution to the work, and 2) accountability for the work that was done and its presentation in a publication. Papers with multiple authors will identify their roles using [this format](#). The corresponding author ensures that all other authors have approved submission of the manuscript in its current form and has their approval to sign the publication agreement. [This report](#) offers guidance on disputes about authorship. A dispute arising after publication can be brought to the attention of the Chief Editor.

## Peer Review

Each manuscript is refereed by two or more reviewers and an associate editor (AE). The reviewers, AE, and Chief Editor are responsible for ensuring the scientific accuracy of manuscripts during peer review. The final decision for acceptance lies with the Chief Editor. During the review process, author names are disclosed to the AE and reviewers, and reviewers have the option of signing their comments that are provided to authors. *Northwest Science* adheres to the general policy of reserving the right to edit all journal content and correspondence for style and clarity. However, reviews will never be edited, and any reviews containing libellous, offensive, and unethical content will be marked as violating journal policy and the authors may ignore those comments. The Managing Editor copyedits manuscripts for clarity and for adherence to journal style, but the authors' tone and intent are to be preserved, and authors have the right to accept, decline, or negotiate edits at this stage.

## Ethical Concerns

*Northwest Science* takes seriously allegations of misconduct at any time from submission to post-publication. Allegations of misconduct should be taken to the Chief Editor, and in general procedures will follow the steps outlined [here](#). The journal requires authors to make their [data available](#) using an online repository and to include this information in an availability statement. Each paper will also include a conflict of interest statement, and animal care guidelines and permits (if applicable) must be specified in the methods section. Any author or reader who believes a paper is fundamentally flawed or has an error should reach out to the Chief Editor, who will [address the issue](#) by correcting the pdf (for spelling/grammar errors); by publishing an erratum (for changes in authorship or data/results), a retraction, or an editorial note; or by overseeing the publication of a Letter to the Editor written by the critiquing author with the original author's reply, if warranted.

## Ethical Breaches

All ethical queries about the journal, its staff, Editorial Board, or publisher (e.g., breaches of confidentiality, undisclosed conflicts of interest, disputes about decisions) should be directed towards the Chief Editor, the Journal Committee Chair, or the NWSA President (email addresses can be found [here](#)).